



William A. Rubert
Shareholder

Chesterbrook Corporate Center
690 Lee Road, Suite 300
Wayne, PA 19087
T: 610.727.5016
F: 610.964.1981
wrubert@campbell-trial-lawyers.com

Bill Rubert has practiced law for more than 20 years and has tried cases in numerous county and federal courts in Pennsylvania and New Jersey. He began his career as the law clerk to the Honorable Forrest G. Schaeffer, presiding judge of the Berks County Court of Common Pleas. Now he regularly defends personal injury and product liability cases. Bill also has experience in insurance coverage and has broadened his practice to include insurance coverage litigation and appeals. He has argued a number of cases in the appellate courts and has several reported appellate court decisions. Bill has lectured to various industry groups about a variety of insurance coverage issues.

Bill earned his law degree from Villanova University School of Law and received his bachelor's degree with distinction from The Pennsylvania State University. He is admitted to practice in New Jersey, the state and federal district courts of Pennsylvania, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, and the United States Supreme Court. He is a member of the Defense Research Institute ("DRI") and the Pennsylvania and Montgomery Bar Associations.

Bill, his wife, daughter and son are all Penn State graduates. Bill is active in community affairs, currently serving as a trustee and fundraiser for a local Boy Scout troop and previously as a director of a community Little League. He is also very active in his church and in the Penn State Alumni Blue Band Association. Bill and his wife are musicians and regularly perform with large and small choral groups. In his spare time, Bill is an amateur cabinetmaker.

Boston, MA

Portland, ME

Bedford, NH

Providence, RI

Hartford, CT

Mt. Laurel, NJ

Philadelphia, PA

Wayne, PA

CURRICULUM VITAE

Professional:

Shareholder. Trial counsel, Campbell Campbell Edwards & Conroy, a nationally recognized law firm dedicated to the litigation of complex, high stakes cases throughout the United States. Mr. Rubert focuses his practice on civil litigation, particularly the defense of product liability cases and issues of insurance coverage.

Previous Organizations:

| | |
|-----------|---|
| 2000-2004 | Post & Schell, P.C. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania |
| 1994-2000 | Kennedy, Walker & O'Brien King of Prussia, Pennsylvania |
| 1987-1994 | LaBrum & Doak Philadelphia, Pennsylvania |
| 1985-1987 | J. Bruce McKissock Associates Philadelphia, Pennsylvania |

Education:

| | |
|------|--|
| 1975 | B.A. with Distinction, The Pennsylvania State University |
| 1984 | J.D., Villanova University School of Law |

Clerkship:

| | |
|-----------|--|
| 1994-1985 | Law Clerk to the Honorable Forrest G. Schaeffer, Presiding Judge Berks County Court of Common Pleas |
|-----------|--|

Bar Admissions:

| | |
|------|--|
| 1984 | Pennsylvania and U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania |
| 1985 | New Jersey and U.S. Court of Appeals, Third Circuit |
| 2001 | U.S. District Court, Middle District of Pennsylvania |
| 2003 | U.S. District Court, Western District of Pennsylvania |
| 2005 | U.S. Supreme Court |



Publications:

Handling Cases With Claims Of Negligence, Strict Liability, and Breach of Warranty, 38 THE BRIEF 56 (Fall 2008)

Professional Organizations:

Defense Research Institute ("DRI")

Pennsylvania Bar Association

Montgomery Bar Association

Description of Practice:

Product Liability - Handles complex litigation on behalf of clients

Insurance Coverage Litigation - Researches and writes on complex coverage issues. Handles declaratory judgment actions arising from unresolved coverage disputes.

RECENT TRIALS

Yadav v. Jones, Philadelphia County Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (January 2007)

Client: Brisk Transportation, L.P./AIG Domestic Claims, Inc.

Judge: Marlene F. Lachman

Opposing Counsel: Alan M. Feldman

Client Contact: Shannon Ali

Result: Verdict for Plaintiff - \$4m for the deceased infant and \$250,000 for the driver/grandmother who witnessed the infant's death. (As reported in *The Legal Intelligencer*, Plaintiff rejected a settlement offer of \$7m, thinking an eight figure verdict "very probable.")

Description: The deceased, an 11 week old infant born into a family of physicians (seven doctors in his immediate family), was riding in a car driven by his grandmother. A tractor trailer driven by the CCEC client rear-ended the car, causing both vehicles to catch fire. The grandmother was pulled out of the car and watched it burn with her grandson inside. She made a claim for emotional distress, along with an injury claim. The infant's estate made wrongful death and survival claims. An economist calculated future wage loss in the range of \$3.3 million to \$11 million. The accident occurred in a cloverleaf interchange with I-83 in Harrisburg, PA. Blame was placed both on the tractor trailer driver and on a motorist who cut him off, causing him to swerve into the merge lane in which the grandmother's car was stopped. Although the jury did not directly find the grandmother responsible, its verdict for her emotional distress was very low, likely representing its conclusion that she was in part responsible. Its verdict to the infant's estate included at most \$3 million for future wage loss, indicating that the jury awarded an amount lower than the Plaintiffs' economist's lowest figure. They thus agreed with the defense argument that the infant's earning potential, even though he was born into a family of doctors, was uncertain. Over defense objections the judge allowed the estate to present a conscious pain and suffering claim using expert opinion disclosed after trial began. During trial, Mr. Rubert – who was engaged for this trial only four days before jury selection – was able to locate a qualified, nationally known pathologist and present his opinion testimony to counter this claim.

Bruce v. Uniwest Construction, Inc. v. Amtech Elevator Services, Inc., Philadelphia County Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (March 2006)

Client: Amtech Elevator Services, Inc. and ABS Services, Inc./AIG Domestic Claims, Inc.

Judge: Patricia A. McInerney

Opposing Counsel: Kathleen Wilkinson

Client Contact: Samuel D. Carucci

Result: Nonsuit in favor of Amtech and ABS (the CCEC clients) on a \$2 million claim.

Description:

Two elevator workmen employed by Amtech were injured on the job, one fatally. They were working under a subcontract with Uniwest Construction as part of the renovation of a property owned by The Fountains. Plaintiffs acting on behalf of the workmen filed suit against a variety of entities involved in the renovation, including The Fountains and Uniwest. Just before trial, The Fountains settled for \$2 million, and Uniwest settled for \$7.5 million as part of an overall \$16.5 million settlement. The Fountains had joined Amtech and ABM (its corporate parent) into the case, on two theories: (1) the Amtech/Uniwest contract obligated them to indemnify The Fountains; and (2) they breached that contract by failing to name The Fountains as an additional insured on their general liability policy. The Fountains was persuaded to dismiss its contractual indemnification claim prior to trial. At trial, Mr. Rubert obtained a full dismissal of the remaining claim, convincing the trial judge that the Amtech/Uniwest contract did not require Amtech to name The Fountains as an additional insured and also that The Fountains, as a stranger to that contract, did not have standing to bring suit for breach of that contract. Had Amtech lost this case, Uniwest would have argued that Amtech would be bound to pay its similar claims against Amtech (currently pending in Virginia) for reimbursement of over \$8 million in settlement and defense costs. Mr. Rubert was engaged to try this case one month prior to trial.